

## GENERATORS FOR $A(\Omega)$

BY

N. SIBONY AND J. WERMER

**ABSTRACT.** We consider a bounded domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and the Banach algebra  $A(\Omega)$  of all continuous functions on  $\bar{\Omega}$  which are analytic in  $\Omega$ . Fix  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  in  $A(\Omega)$ . We say they are a set of generators if  $A(\Omega)$  is the smallest closed subalgebra containing the  $f_i$ . We restrict attention to the case when  $\Omega$  is strictly pseudoconvex and smoothly bounded and the  $f_i$  are smooth on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . In this case, Theorem 1 below gives conditions assuring that a given set  $f_i$  is a set of generators.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .  $A(\Omega)$  denotes the algebra of all continuous complex-valued functions on  $\bar{\Omega}$  which are holomorphic on  $\Omega$ . With  $\|f\| = \max_{\bar{\Omega}} |f|$ ,  $A(\Omega)$  is a Banach algebra.

Fix  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in A(\Omega)$ . Denote by  $[f_1, \dots, f_k | \bar{\Omega}]$  the uniform closure on  $\bar{\Omega}$  of the algebra of all polynomials in  $f_1, \dots, f_k$ . We say that the  $f_i$  form a set of generators for  $A(\Omega)$  if  $[f_1, \dots, f_k | \bar{\Omega}] = A(\Omega)$ .

Our problem is to decide when a given set  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  is a set of generators for  $A(\Omega)$ .

Two immediate necessary conditions are:

- (1) *The  $f_i$  separate points on  $\bar{\Omega}$ .*
- (2) *The matrix  $((\partial f_i / \partial z_j))$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , has rank  $n$  at each point  $z \in \Omega$ .*

Note that (1) implies that  $k \geq n$ .

To be able to find sufficient conditions, we impose the following restrictions on  $\Omega$ :  $\exists$  a function  $\rho$  of class  $C^4$  and strictly plurisubharmonic in some neighborhood of  $\bar{\Omega}$  such that  $\Omega = \{z \mid \rho(z) < 0\}$ , and  $\text{grad } \rho \neq 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .

In this case it is known (see Appendix (A.1)) that the spectrum of the Banach algebra  $A(\Omega)$  coincides with  $\bar{\Omega}$ .

Fix  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in A(\Omega)$ . Put  $K = \{(f_1(z), \dots, f_k(z)) \mid z \in \bar{\Omega}\}$ . In order that the  $f_i$  be a set of generators it is now necessary that

- (3)  *$K$  is polynomially convex in  $\mathbb{C}^k$ .*

We shall also assume that the  $f_i$  are smooth up to the boundary of  $\Omega$ . For each multi-index  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ , put

$$|I| = \sum_{\nu=1}^n i_\nu \quad \text{and} \quad D^I = \frac{\partial^{|I|}}{\partial z_1^{i_1} \cdots \partial z_n^{i_n}}.$$

---

Presented to the Society, June 25, 1973 under the title *Generators for some uniform algebras*; received by the editors May 23, 1973.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 32E25, 32E30.

*Key words and phrases.* Domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , generators, Banach algebra, polynomial convexity.

Copyright © 1974, American Mathematical Society

**Definition 1.** Fix an integer  $\sigma > 0$ .  $A^\sigma(\Omega)$  denotes the class of all functions  $f$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$  such that, for each multi-index  $I$  with  $0 \leq |I| \leq \sigma$ ,  $D^I f \in A(\Omega)$ .

With the norm

$$(4) \quad \|f\| = \sum_I \frac{1}{I!} \max_{\bar{\Omega}} |D^I f|,$$

where the sum is taken over all  $I$  with  $0 \leq |I| \leq \sigma$  and  $I! = i_1! \cdot i_2! \cdots i_n!$  if  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ ,  $A^\sigma(\Omega)$  is a Banach algebra.

For  $f \in A^\sigma(\Omega)$ ,  $(\partial f / \partial z_i)(z)$  is defined for each  $z \in \partial\Omega$  by

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}(z) = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow z} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i}(\xi),$$

where  $\xi \rightarrow z$  from inside  $\Omega$ , the limit existing by definition of  $A^\sigma(\Omega)$ . We can hence consider the matrix  $((\partial f_i / \partial z_j))$  at all points of  $\bar{\Omega}$ .

*Observation 1.* Fix  $\sigma > 0$ . For  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in A^\sigma(\Omega)$  conditions (1), (2), (3) fail to be sufficient even when  $n = 1$  and  $\Omega$  is the unit disk:  $|z| < 1$ . We give an example in the Appendix (A.3).

We therefore strengthen condition (2) to

(2') *The matrix  $((\partial f_i / \partial z_j))$  has rank  $n$  for all  $z \in \bar{\Omega}$ .*

**Theorem 1.** Fix  $\sigma \geq 4$ . Let  $\Omega$  be as above. Fix  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in A^\sigma(\Omega)$  such that (1), (2'), (3) are satisfied. Then  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  are a set of generators for  $A(\Omega)$ .

*Note.* Our hypotheses on  $\Omega$  are satisfied by all smoothly bounded strictly convex sets in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , in particular by balls.

Theorem 1 admits the following generalisation:

**Theorem 1 bis.** Let  $\Omega$  be as in Theorem 1. Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a closed subalgebra of  $A(\Omega)$  which contains a family  $\mathfrak{F}$  of functions such that:

- (i)  $\mathfrak{F} \subset A^\sigma(\Omega)$ .
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{F}$  separates points on  $\bar{\Omega}$ .
- (iii) For each  $z \in \bar{\Omega}$ ,  $\exists$  a finite subset  $f_1^{(z)}, \dots, f_s^{(z)}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  such that  $((\partial f_i^{(z)} / \partial z_j))$  has rank  $n$  at  $z$ .
- (iv) The spectrum of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $\bar{\Omega}$ .

Then  $\mathfrak{A} = A(\Omega)$ .

**2. Modules over a Banach algebra.**  $A$  is a commutative semisimple Banach algebra with unit 1. Its spectrum is denoted  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Fix  $k$ .

$A^k$  denotes the  $A$ -module of all  $k$ -tuples  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  of elements  $a_i \in A$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Fix  $l < k$ , and fix elements  $\xi^1, \dots, \xi^l \in A^k$ . We say the set  $\xi^1, \dots, \xi^l$  admits a completion if  $\exists \xi^{l+1}, \dots, \xi^k \in A^k$  such that  $\xi^1, \xi^2, \dots, \xi^l, \xi^{l+1}, \dots, \xi^k$  is a module basis for  $A^k$ .

If  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in A^k$  and  $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ , we set  $\xi(M) = (\xi_1(M), \dots, \xi_k(M)) \in \mathbb{C}^k$ , where, for  $a \in A$ ,  $a(M)$  denotes the value at  $M$  of the Gel'fand transform

of  $a$ . It is clear that, given  $\xi^1, \dots, \xi^l \in A^k$ , a necessary condition in order that this set admits a completion is

(5)  $\xi^1(M), \dots, \xi^l(M)$  are linearly independent in  $\mathbb{C}^k$ .

We ask: Under what restrictions is (5) a sufficient condition in order that  $\xi^1, \dots, \xi^l$  admits a completion?

Our work is based on results of Forster [1], regarding finitely generated projective modules over a Banach algebra  $A$ .

**Definition 2.2.** An  $A$ -module  $Q$  is a  $p$ -module (finitely generated projective module) if  $\exists n$  and a direct sum decomposition  $A^n = P \oplus Q$ , where  $P$  is another module.

$Q$  is *free* if it has a basis.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $P$  be a  $p$ -module.  $P$  has *rank*  $k$  if for every  $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ , the vector space  $P/MP$  has dimension  $k$  over the field  $A/M \cong \mathbb{C}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Assume  $\mathfrak{M}$  is connected. Then every  $p$ -module  $P$  over  $A$  has some rank  $k$ .

**Proof.**  $\exists n$  and a decomposition

$$(6) \quad A^n = P \oplus Q.$$

Fix  $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ . We claim:

$P/MP$  is isomorphic to the vector space  $V_M = \{\xi(M) \mid \xi \in P\}$ .

For let  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  be elements of  $P$  congruent mod  $MP$ . Then

$$\xi_1 - \xi_2 = \sum_{i=1}^s m_i p_i, \quad m_i \in M, p_i \in P.$$

Hence  $\xi_1(M) = \xi_2(M)$ . So the map  $[\xi] \rightarrow \xi(M)$  is well defined from the elements of  $P/MP$  to  $V_M$ . It is evidently linear and surjective. Suppose, for some  $\xi \in P$ ,  $[\xi] \rightarrow 0$ , i.e.,  $\xi(M) = 0$ . Let  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  be the standard basis for  $A^n$ .  $E_i = p_i + q_i$ ,  $p_i \in P$ ,  $q_i \in Q$ , for each  $i$ . We have

$$\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i E_i.$$

For each  $i$ ,  $\xi_i(M) = 0$ , so  $\xi_i \in M$ .

$$\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i (p_i + q_i) = \sum \xi_i p_i + \sum \xi_i q_i.$$

Since (6) is a direct sum decomposition,  $\xi = \sum \xi_i p_i \in MP$ , so  $[\xi] = 0$ .

Thus the map is injective, and the claim is proved. Put  $W_M = \{\xi(M) \mid \xi \in Q\}$ . By (6), we have

$$(7) \quad \mathbb{C}^n = V_M \oplus W_M.$$

That (7) is a direct sum decomposition is seen by the preceding argument. Thus  $n = \dim V_M + \dim W_M$ . Fix  $M_0 \in \mathfrak{M}$  and put  $l = \dim V_{M_0}$ . Thus  $\exists$  elements

$\xi^1, \dots, \xi^l \in P$  with  $\xi^1(M_0), \dots, \xi^l(M_0)$  linearly independent. Hence for some choice of indices  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l$ , the determinant

$$D(M) = \begin{vmatrix} \xi_{i_1}^1(M) & \cdots & \xi_{i_l}^1(M) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \xi_{i_1}^l(M) & \cdots & \xi_{i_l}^l(M) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$$

when  $M = M_0$ . By continuity,  $D(M) \neq 0$  for all  $M$  in some neighborhood of  $M_0$  in  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Hence  $\dim V_M \geq l$  for all  $M$  in some neighborhood of  $M_0$ . Similarly,  $\dim W_M \geq \dim W_{M_0} = n - l$  for all  $M$  in some neighborhood. But  $\dim V_M + \dim W_M = n$  for all  $M$ . Hence  $\dim V_M = l$  for all  $M$  in some neighborhood of  $M_0$ .

Thus  $\dim V_M$  is locally constant on  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is connected,  $\exists k$  with  $\dim V_M = k$  for all  $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Since  $P/MP$  is isomorphic to  $V_M$ , we have  $\dim P/MP = k$  for all  $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Q.E.D.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $P$  be a  $p$ -module  $\subseteq A^n$ . By  $P \otimes C(\mathfrak{M})$ , we denote the collection of all finite sums  $\sum_{i=1}^s f_i p_i$ ,  $f_i \in C(\mathfrak{M})$ ,  $p_i \in P$ , regarded as elements of  $(C(\mathfrak{M}))^n$ .

Note that  $A^n \otimes C(\mathfrak{M}) \cong (C(\mathfrak{M}))^n$ .

We shall use the following two results from [1]:

**Proposition F.1.** Let  $Q$  be a  $p$ -module. If  $Q \otimes C(\mathfrak{M})$  is free as a  $C(\mathfrak{M})$ -module, then  $Q$  is free as an  $A$ -module.

**Proof.** Satz 6 of [1].

**Proposition F.2.** Let the Banach algebra  $A$  have  $\rho$  topological generators. Let  $P_1, P_2$  be two  $p$ -modules of rank  $k$  such that, for some  $l$ ,

$$P_1 \oplus A^l \cong P_2 \oplus A^l.$$

If  $k \geq [\rho/2]$ , then  $P_1 \cong P_2$ .

**Proof.** Satz 10 of [1].

**Lemma 2.2.**  $A$  is a Banach algebra such that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a connected subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .  $Q$  is a  $p$ -module over  $A$  such that

$$(*) \quad A^k = P \oplus Q, \quad \text{where } P \cong A^l$$

for some  $k, l$ . If  $k - l \geq n$ , then  $Q$  is free.

**Proof.** Tensoring  $(*)$  with  $C = C(\mathfrak{M})$  gives

$$C^k = C^l \oplus \{Q \otimes C\}.$$

The rank of  $Q \otimes C$  (as  $C$ -module) is  $k - l$ .  $C(\mathfrak{M})$  possesses  $2n$  topological generators, since  $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ .  $k - l \geq n = [2n/2]$ . Also

$$C^l \oplus C^{k-l} = C^l \oplus \{Q \otimes C\}.$$

By Proposition F.2, it follows that

$$C^{k-l} \cong Q \otimes C.$$

Thus  $Q \otimes C$  is free as  $C$ -module. By Proposition F.1, this implies that  $Q$  is free as  $A$ -module. Q.E.D.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra with spectrum  $\mathfrak{M}$  such that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a connected subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Fix  $k, l$  satisfying*

$$(8) \quad k \geq 2n, \quad l \leq n.$$

*Fix  $\xi^1, \dots, \xi^l \in A^k$  satisfying (5). Then this set admits a completion.*

**Proof.** We hold  $n$  fixed and proceed by induction on  $l$ .

Let  $l = 1$ ; we are given  $\xi^1 \in A^k$ ,  $\xi^1(M) \neq 0$  for all  $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ .  $\xi^1 = (\xi_1^1, \dots, \xi_k^1)$ . Since the  $\xi_j^1$  have no common zero on  $\mathfrak{M}$ ,  $\exists a_j \in A$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^k a_j \xi_j^1 = 1$ . Define a map  $\varphi: A^k \rightarrow A$  by

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j x_j.$$

Then  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism and  $\varphi(\xi^1) = 1$ . If  $\xi \in A^k$ ,  $\xi - \varphi(\xi) \cdot \xi^1 \in \ker \varphi$ , so  $\xi = \varphi(\xi) \cdot \xi^1 + r$ ,  $r \in \ker \varphi$ .

If  $\xi = t \cdot \xi^1 + r'$ ,  $t \in A$ ,  $r' \in \ker \varphi$ , then  $t = \varphi(\xi)$  and  $r' = r$ . Thus we have the direct sum decomposition.  $A^k = \{\xi^1\} \oplus \ker \varphi$  where  $\{\xi^1\}$  is the cyclic module generated by  $\xi^1$ .  $\{\xi^1\}$  is isomorphic to  $A^1$ , since  $a\xi^1 = 0$  only if  $a = 0$ , in view of the fact that  $\xi^1(M) \neq 0$  for all  $M$ . Lemma 2.2 applies since, by (8),  $k - 1 \geq n$ , and so  $\ker \varphi$  is free.

Thus  $\ker \varphi$  has a basis  $\xi^2, \dots, \xi^k$  and so the set  $\xi^i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , is the required completion of  $\xi^1$ .

Now, assume the theorem is true when  $l$  is replaced by  $l - 1$  and consider a set  $\xi^1, \dots, \xi^l$  satisfying (5) and  $l \leq n$ . Then  $\xi^1, \dots, \xi^{l-1}$  satisfies (5). By the induction hypothesis,  $\exists \eta^l, \eta^{l+1}, \dots, \eta^k \in A^k$  such that  $\xi^1, \dots, \xi^{l-1}, \eta^l, \dots, \eta^k$  forms a basis of  $A^k$ . Denote by  $Q$  the module generated by  $\eta^l, \dots, \eta^k$ . We have the direct sum decomposition

$$(9) \quad A^k = \{\xi^1\} \oplus \dots \oplus \{\xi^{l-1}\} \oplus Q.$$

In particular,  $\exists c_r \in A$ ,  $q \in Q$  with

$$(10) \quad \xi^l = \sum_{r=1}^{l-1} c_r \xi^r + q.$$

For each  $M$  with  $q(M) = 0$ , we have

$$\xi^l(M) = \sum_{r=1}^{l-1} c_r(M) \xi^r(M),$$

contrary to (5). Hence  $q(M) \neq 0$ , for all  $M$ . Also  $Q \cong A^{k-l+1}$ . We can hence apply to  $Q$  and  $q$  the reasoning used above on  $A^k$  and  $\xi^1$  and obtain a homomorphism  $\varphi': Q \rightarrow A$ , with  $Q = \{q\} \oplus \ker \varphi'$ . The rank of  $Q = k - l + 1$ , so the rank of  $\ker \varphi' = k - l$ . But, by (8),  $k - l \geq n$ . So, by Lemma 2.2,  $\ker \varphi'$  is free, and has rank  $k - l$ . Let  $\xi^{l+1}, \dots, \xi^k$  be a basis for  $\ker \varphi'$ .

We claim that  $\{\xi^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  is a basis for  $A^k$ . This follows directly from (9) and (10). The induction is complete, so the theorem is proved.

The application of Theorem 2.1 which we will require is the following.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as in Theorem 1. Fix  $\alpha > 0$ . Let  $(\xi_1^1, \dots, \xi_k^1), \dots, (\xi_1^n, \dots, \xi_k^n)$  be  $n$   $k$ -tuples of elements of  $A^\alpha(\Omega)$ , where  $k \geq 2n$ . Assume that the matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1^1 & \dots & \xi_k^1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \xi_1^n & \dots & \xi_k^n \end{pmatrix}$$

*has rank  $n$  at each point of  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Then  $\exists k - n$   $k$ -tuples of elements of  $A^\alpha(\Omega)$ ,  $(a_1^1, \dots, a_k^1), \dots, (a_1^{k-n}, \dots, a_k^{k-n})$  such that the determinant*

$$\begin{vmatrix} \xi_1^1 & \dots & \xi_k^1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \xi_1^n & \dots & \xi_k^n \\ a_1^1 & \dots & a_k^1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_1^{k-n} & \dots & a_k^{k-n} \end{vmatrix} = 1$$

*at each point  $z \in \overline{\Omega}$ .*

**Proof.** The spectrum of  $A^\alpha$  coincides with  $\overline{\Omega}$ . (See Appendix (A.2).) Thus the spectrum is a connected subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The assumption on the matrix  $((\xi_j^i))$  tells us that the vectors  $\xi^i(M) = (\xi_1^i(M), \dots, \xi_k^i(M))$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , are independent at each  $M$  in the spectrum, i.e., satisfy (5).

Theorem 2.1 thus applies, and yields  $\xi^{n+1}, \dots, \xi^k \in (A^\alpha(\Omega))^k$  which together with  $\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n$  form a basis of  $(A^\alpha(\Omega))^k$ . Elementary algebra now gives that the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} \xi^1 \\ \vdots \\ \xi^k \end{vmatrix}$$

is a unit in the ring  $A^\alpha(\Omega)$ . Without loss of generality that determinant then equals 1. We are done.

**3. Proof of Theorems 1 and 1 bis.** Let now  $\Omega$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1. If  $k < 2n$ , define  $f_{k+1} = \dots = f_{2n} = f_k$ . Then the set

$f_1, \dots, f_{2n}$  again satisfies (1), (2'), (3). Also

$$[f_1, \dots, f_k | \bar{\Omega}] = [f_1, \dots, f_{2n} | \bar{\Omega}].$$

If we can show that  $[f_1, \dots, f_{2n} | \bar{\Omega}] = A(\Omega)$ , then of course  $[f_1, \dots, f_k | \bar{\Omega}] = A(\Omega)$ . Thus it is no loss of generality to suppose  $k \geq 2n$ , and we do so from now on.

For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , put  $\mathbf{p}_i = (\partial f_1 / \partial z_i, \dots, \partial f_k / \partial z_i)$ . Each  $\partial f_j / \partial z_i \in A^{\sigma-1}(\Omega)$ . Put  $A = A^{\sigma-1}(\Omega)$ . Then  $\mathbf{p}_i \in A^k$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hypothesis (2') gives exactly that, for each  $M \in \bar{\Omega}$ , the vectors  $\mathbf{p}_1(M), \dots, \mathbf{p}_n(M)$  are independent in  $\mathbf{C}^k$ .

By Theorem 2.2, we can select vectors such that the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{p}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{p}_n \\ \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_{k-n} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \partial f_1 / \partial z_1 & \cdots & \partial f_k / \partial z_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \partial f_1 / \partial z_n & \cdots & \partial f_k / \partial z_n \\ a_1^1 & \cdots & a_k^1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_1^{k-n} & \cdots & a_k^{k-n} \end{vmatrix} = 1$$

identically on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Each  $a_j^i \in A = A^{\sigma-1}(\Omega)$ . We choose an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $\bar{\Omega}$  in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  such that each of the functions  $f_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , admits an extension to  $U$  lying in  $C^\sigma(U)$  and each  $a_j^i$  admits an extension to an element of  $C^{\sigma-1}(U)$ .

We form the product domain in  $\mathbf{C}^k$ :  $U \times \mathbf{C}^{k-n} = \{(z, w) \mid z \in U, w \in \mathbf{C}^{k-n}\}$ . We define a map  $\chi$  of  $U \times \mathbf{C}^{k-n}$  into  $\mathbf{C}^k$  as follows: If  $(z, w) \in U \times \mathbf{C}^{k-n}$ ,

$$\chi(z, w) = \left( f_1(z) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-n} a_1^j(z) w_j, \dots, f_k(z) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-n} a_k^j(z) w_j \right).$$

Note that  $\chi$  is of class  $\sigma - 1$  in  $U \times \mathbf{C}^{k-n}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.**  $\exists$  an open set  $V$  in  $\mathbf{C}^k$  containing  $\bar{\Omega} \times \{0\} = \{(z, 0) \in \mathbf{C}^k \mid z \in \bar{\Omega}\}$ , such that  $\chi$  is a diffeomorphism of class  $\sigma - 1$  on  $V$ .

**Proof of Lemma 3.1.** Denote by  $D_\chi$  the Jacobian determinant of  $\chi$  when we regard  $\chi$  as a map from a domain in  $\mathbf{R}^{2k}$  into  $\mathbf{R}^{2k}$ . We have, for  $z \in \bar{\Omega}$ ,

$$D_\chi(z, 0) = \begin{vmatrix} \partial f_1 / \partial z_1 & \cdots & \partial f_k / \partial z_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \partial f_1 / \partial z_n & \cdots & \partial f_k / \partial z_n \\ a_1^1 & \cdots & a_k^1 \\ a_1^2 & \cdots & a_k^2 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_1^{k-n} & \cdots & a_k^{k-n} \end{vmatrix}$$

in modulus squared, hence  $= 1$  by choice of the  $a_j^i$ . By continuity this relation remains true for  $z \in \bar{\Omega}$ .

Since  $D_\chi(z) \neq 0$  for each  $z \in \overline{\Omega} \times \{0\}$ ,  $\chi$  is a local homeomorphism in  $\mathbb{C}^k$  at each such point. Also  $\chi$  is one-to-one on  $\overline{\Omega} \times \{0\}$  by (1). It follows that  $\exists$  an open set  $V$  in  $\mathbb{C}^k$  with  $\overline{\Omega} \times \{0\} \subset V$  such that  $\chi$  is one-to-one in  $V$  and with  $D_\chi \neq 0$  at each point of  $V$ . Hence  $\chi$  is a diffeomorphism on  $V$ , and we are done.

*Notations.* Let  $F$  be a holomorphic map from an open set in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  into  $\mathbb{C}^N$ ,  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_N)$ . We denote by  $J_F$  the Jacobian matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \partial F_1 / \partial \xi_1 & \cdots & \partial F_1 / \partial \xi_N \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \partial F_N / \partial \xi_1 & \cdots & \partial F_N / \partial \xi_N \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $\rho$  be the defining function of  $\Omega$ . For each  $t > 0$ , put  $\varphi_t(z, w) = \rho(z) + t|w|^2$ . For large  $t$ , the set  $\{(z, w) \mid \varphi_t(z, w) \leq 0\} \subset V$ , where  $V$  is as in Lemma 3.1. Fix such a  $t$ , put  $\varphi = \varphi_t$  and put

$$\mathcal{E} = \{(z, w) \in U \times \mathbb{C}^{k-n} \mid \varphi(z, w) < 0\}.$$

Thus  $\overline{\mathcal{E}} \subset V$ . Also  $\chi$  is holomorphic in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Put  $\varphi^* = \varphi \circ \chi^{-1}$ .

$\varphi^*$  is a smooth function defined in  $\chi(V)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.**  $\varphi^*$  is strictly plurisubharmonic in a neighborhood of  $\overline{\chi(\mathcal{E})}$ .

**Proof.** Fix  $p = (z, w) \in \mathcal{E}$ . The entries of the matrix  $J_\chi(p)$  involve the numbers  $(\partial f_i / \partial z_j)(z)$  and  $a_\beta^\alpha(z)$ , which are bounded independently of  $p$ , since if  $p \in \mathcal{E}$  then  $z \in \Omega$  and the  $f_i$  and  $a_\beta^\alpha \in A^{\sigma-1}(\overline{\Omega})$ . Also  $|w|$  is bounded for  $(z, w) \in \mathcal{E}$ . Thus  $\exists$  constant  $M$  such that  $|J_\chi(p)\eta| \leq M|\eta|$  for all  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^k$  and all  $p \in \mathcal{E}$ . Also  $(J_\chi(p))^{-1} = J_{\chi^{-1}}(\chi(p))$ , whence, for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^k$ ,

$$|\xi| = |J_\chi(p)\{J_{\chi^{-1}}(\chi(p))\xi\}| \leq M|J_{\chi^{-1}}(\chi(p))\xi|$$

and so

$$|J_{\chi^{-1}}(\chi(p))\xi| \geq \frac{|\xi|}{M}.$$

Thus, for all  $p^* \in \chi(\mathcal{E})$  and all  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^k$ , we have

$$(11) \quad |J_{\chi^{-1}}(p^*)\xi| \geq \frac{|\xi|}{M}.$$

Also, since  $\varphi$  is strictly plurisubharmonic on a neighborhood of  $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ ,  $\exists$  constant  $c > 0$  with

$$(12) \quad \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}(p) \eta_i \bar{\eta}_j \geq c|\eta|^2$$

for all  $p \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^k$ .

Denote  $\chi^{-1} = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_k)$ . Each  $\psi_j$  is a smooth function on  $\chi(V)$  and is holomorphic on  $\chi(\mathcal{E})$ . Fix  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k$  and fix  $p \in \mathcal{E}$ . Put  $p^* = \chi(p)$ ,



$\eta = J_{\chi^{-1}}(p^*)\xi$ . Thus, for each  $j$ ,

$$\eta_j = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial \xi_{\alpha}}(p^*) \xi_{\alpha}.$$

Direct calculation gives

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi^*}{\partial \xi_{\alpha} \partial \bar{\xi}_{\beta}}(p^*) \xi_{\alpha} \bar{\xi}_{\beta} = \sum_{i, j} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}(p) \eta_i \bar{\eta}_j.$$

By (12), the right-hand side

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq c|\eta|^2 = c|J_{\chi^{-1}}(p^*)\xi|^2 \\ &\geq c \cdot \frac{|\xi|^2}{M^2}, \quad \text{by (11).} \end{aligned}$$

This inequality holds for all  $p \in \mathfrak{E}$ , hence by continuity for all  $p \in \bar{\mathfrak{E}}$ . The assertion of Lemma 3.2 follows.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Note first that

$$\chi(\mathfrak{E}) = \{\zeta \in \chi(V) \mid \varphi^*(\zeta) < 0\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\text{grad } \varphi^* \neq 0$  on the boundary of  $\chi(\mathfrak{E})$ . Hence the domain  $\chi(\mathfrak{E})$  satisfies the hypothesis of the approximation theorem of Henkin [3], and hence if  $F$  is any function continuous in  $\overline{\chi(\mathfrak{E})}$ , holomorphic in  $\chi(\mathfrak{E})$ , then  $\exists$  a sequence  $\{F_n\}$  of functions with the following properties:

For each  $n$ ,  $F_n$  is holomorphic in some neighborhood  $W_n$  of  $\overline{\chi(\mathfrak{E})}$ , and  $F_n \rightarrow F$  uniformly on  $\overline{\chi(\mathfrak{E})}$ .

Fix  $f \in A(\Omega)$ . Let  $(z, w) \in \mathfrak{E}$ . Then  $z \in \Omega$ . For  $(z, w) \in \bar{\mathfrak{E}}$ , put  $\tilde{f}(z, w) = f(z)$ .  $\tilde{f}$  is then continuous in  $\bar{\mathfrak{E}}$ , holomorphic in  $\mathfrak{E}$ .

$\tilde{f}(\chi^{-1})$  is hence continuous in  $\overline{\chi(\mathfrak{E})}$ , holomorphic in  $\chi(\mathfrak{E})$ . By the preceding, we can choose neighborhoods  $W_n$  of  $\overline{\chi(\mathfrak{E})}$  and  $F_n$  holomorphic in  $W_n$  with  $F_n \rightarrow \tilde{f}(\chi^{-1})$  uniformly on  $\overline{\chi(\mathfrak{E})}$ . Put

$$K = \{\chi(z, 0) \mid z \in \bar{\Omega}\}.$$

Then  $K \subset \overline{\chi(\mathfrak{E})}$ . By (3),  $K$  is polynomially convex. The Oka-Weil theorem allows us to approximate  $F_n$  uniformly by polynomials on  $K$ , and so we obtain a sequence of polynomials  $P_n$  in the coordinates with  $P_n \rightarrow \tilde{f}(\chi^{-1})$  uniformly on  $K$ . Hence  $P_n(\chi(z, 0)) \rightarrow f(z)$  uniformly on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . But  $\chi(z, 0) = (f_1(z), \dots, f_k(z))$ . So  $f \in [f_1, \dots, f_k \mid \bar{\Omega}]$ . Q.E.D.

**Proof of Theorem 1 bis.** Condition (iii) gives that each  $z \in \bar{\Omega}$  has a neighborhood  $U_z$  such that  $\exists$  a finite subset of  $\mathfrak{F}$  separating points in  $U_z$ . This, plus the compactness of  $\bar{\Omega}$ , implies that  $\exists$  a finite set of elements  $f_1, \dots, f_l \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that each point of  $\bar{\Omega}$  has a neighborhood where  $f_1, \dots, f_l$  separate points. Using this fact and (ii), a standard argument shows  $\exists$  a finite set  $f_{l+1}, \dots, f_k \in \mathfrak{F}$  which

together separate points on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . The set  $\{f_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  then satisfies our conditions (1) and (2'). Using the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1 to obtain a map  $\chi$  and a set  $\mathfrak{C}$  as earlier. The situation differs from the preceding one in that

$$K = \{\chi(z, 0) \mid z \in \overline{\Omega}\} = \{(f_1(z), \dots, f_k(z)) \mid z \in \overline{\Omega}\}$$

is not necessarily polynomially convex. However, we can do the following: Fix  $f \in A(\Omega)$ . Define  $\tilde{f}(z, w) = f(z)$ , as earlier, for  $(z, w) \in \mathfrak{C}$ . By the proof of Theorem 1,  $\exists F_n$  holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $K$  with

$$(13) \quad F_n \rightarrow \tilde{f}(\chi^{-1})$$

uniformly on  $K$ . Since the spectrum of  $\mathfrak{A}$  coincides with  $\overline{\Omega}$  by (iv), the operational calculus applied to  $\mathfrak{A}$  yields that, if  $g_n = F_n(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ ,  $g_n$  lies in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , for each  $n$ . By (13),  $g_n(z) \rightarrow f(z)$  uniformly on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Hence  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Q.E.D.

**Appendix.** (A.1) Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ ,  $\Omega = \{z \mid \rho(z) < 0\}$ , where  $\rho$  is strictly plurisubharmonic in a neighborhood of  $\overline{\Omega}$  and  $\text{grad } \rho \neq 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Then the spectrum of  $A(\Omega)$  is  $\overline{\Omega}$ .

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and put  $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{z \mid \rho(z) < \varepsilon\}$ . For small  $\varepsilon$ , we have that  $\Omega_\varepsilon$  is a Stein manifold and  $\overline{\Omega}$  is convex with respect to the algebra  $H(\Omega_\varepsilon)$  of all functions holomorphic in  $\Omega_\varepsilon$ . Let  $B$  denote the uniform closure on  $\overline{\Omega}$  of the restrictions to  $\overline{\Omega}$  of functions in  $H(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ . Since  $\overline{\Omega}$  is convex with respect to  $H(\Omega_\varepsilon)$ ,  $B$  contains the restriction to  $\overline{\Omega}$  of each function  $F$  holomorphic in some neighborhood of  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Hence, by the approximation theorem in [3],  $B = A(\Omega)$ . On the other hand, by Theorem 7.2.10 of [4], the spectrum of  $B$  coincides with  $\overline{\Omega}$ . We are done.

(A.2) Let  $\Omega$  be as in (A.1) and fix  $\sigma$ . The spectrum of  $A^\sigma(\Omega)$  coincides with  $\overline{\Omega}$ .

For fix a homomorphism  $m: A^\sigma(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $f \in A^\sigma(\Omega)$ .

Put  $\lambda = m(f)$ . If  $|\lambda| > \max_{\overline{\Omega}} |f|$ ,  $f - \lambda$  is invertible in  $A^\sigma(\Omega)$ , contradicting that  $m(f - \lambda) = 0$ . Hence  $|\lambda| \leq \max_{\overline{\Omega}} |f|$ . It follows that  $m$  extends to an element of the spectrum of the closure of  $A^\sigma(\Omega)$  in  $A(\Omega)$ . But that closure is  $A(\Omega)$ , by the approximation theorem in [3]. Hence, by (A.1),  $m$  coincides with a point of  $\overline{\Omega}$ , and we are done.

(A.3) Consider the open disk  $E: |z| < 1$  in the plane. Put

$$\varphi(z) = \exp(-(1+z)/(1-z)).$$

Put  $f = (z-1)^3 \cdot \varphi$ ,  $g = (z-1)^4 \cdot \varphi$ .

*Assertion.*  $f, g \in A^1(E)$  and satisfy (1), (2), (3) on  $E$ . Yet  $f, g$  fail to generate  $A(E)$ .

The fact that  $f, g \in A^1(E)$  as well as conditions (1) and (2) are checked by direct calculation. The argument to prove (3) is longer, and we do not give it here.

The fact that  $[f, g \mid \overline{E}] \neq A(E)$  is seen as follows: Fix  $h \in [f, g \mid \overline{E}]$  with  $h(1) = 0$ . Choose a sequence  $g_n \rightarrow h$  uniformly on  $\overline{E}$  with each  $g_n$  a polynomial

in  $f$  and  $g$ . We may assume  $g_n(1) = 0$ , all  $n$ .

$$g_n = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \geq 0} c_{\alpha, \beta}^{(n)} f^\alpha \cdot g^\beta, \quad c_{00}^{(n)} = g_n(1) = 0.$$

Hence  $g_n = h_n \cdot \varphi$ , where  $h_n \in A(E)$ . Since  $|\varphi| = 1$  on  $\partial E$ ,  $g_n \bar{\varphi} = h_n$ , so  $h_n \rightarrow h \bar{\varphi}$  in the uniform norm on  $|z| = 1$ . Hence  $h \bar{\varphi} \in A(E)$  or  $h = H \cdot \varphi$ ,  $H \in A(E)$ . Hence, every  $h \in [f, g \mid \bar{E}]$  with  $h(1) = 0$  has  $\varphi$  as a factor, and so  $[f, g \mid \bar{E}] \neq A(E)$ , as claimed.

**Acknowledgment.** We want to thank Douady for an enlightening conversation.

*Note 1.* Recall that condition (3) required the set  $K = \{(f_1(z), \dots, f_k(z)) \mid z \in \bar{\Omega}\}$  to be polynomially convex.

When  $\Omega$  is the unit disk in  $\mathbb{C}$ , condition (3) is superfluous, i.e., is implied by (1) and (2') assuming the  $f_i \in A^1(\Omega)$ . This was proved by J.-E. Björk in [11]. For the case when the  $f_i$  are holomorphic on the closed disk, it was proved by Wermer in [8].

For the case when  $\Omega$  is the disk in the plane, our Theorem 1 is known and is due to R. Blumenthal (to appear). This proof uses the measures orthogonal to the algebra. He needs only one derivative for the  $f_i$ .

In the case when  $\Omega$  is the ball in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , condition (3) is no longer a consequence of (1) and (2'). The example in Wermer [9] shows this.

Questions related to the work of the present paper are studied by Gamelin [2, Theorem 7], and by Sakai in [6] and [7].

*Note 2.* Every smoothly bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  satisfies our condition; i.e., one can find a function  $\rho$  smooth and strictly plurisubharmonic in a neighborhood of  $\bar{\Omega}$  such that  $\Omega = \{z \mid \rho(z) < 0\}$  and  $\text{grad } \rho \neq 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .

**Added in proof.** We have recently noted the paper by V. Iu. Lin, *Holomorphic fiber bundles and multi-valued functions of an element of a Banach algebra*, Functional Anal. Appl. 7 (1973), 43–51 (Russian). This paper overlaps with §2 of this article.

#### REFERENCES

1. O. Forster, *Funktionentheoretische Hilfsmittel in der Theorie der kommutativen Banach-Algebren*, multigraphed (1971).
2. T. W. Gamelin, *Polynomial approximation on thin sets*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 184, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970, pp. 50–78.
3. G. M. Henkin, *Integral representation of functions holomorphic in strictly pseudo-convex domains and some applications*, Mat. Sb. 78 (120) (1969), 611–632 = Math. USSR Sb. 7 (1969), 597–616. MR 40 #2902.
4. L. Hörmander, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1966. MR 34 #2933.
5. I. Lieb, *Ein Approximationssatz auf streng pseudokonvexen Gebieten*, Math. Ann. 184 (1969), 56–60. MR 41 #7146.
6. A. Sakai, *Localisation theorem for holomorphic approximation on open Riemann surfaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 24 (1972), 189–197.

7. A. Sakai, *Uniform approximation on compact subsets of complex manifolds* (to appear).
8. J. Wermer, *Rings of analytic functions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **67** (1958), 497–516. MR **20** #3299.
9. ———, *An example concerning polynomial convexity*, Math. Ann. **139** (1959), 147–150. MR **22** #12238.
10. N. Kerzman, *Hölder and  $L^p$  estimates for solutions of  $\bar{\partial}u = f$  in strongly pseudoconvex domains*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **24** (1971), 301–379. MR **43** #7658.
11. J.-E. Björk, *Holomorphic convexity and analytic structures in Banach algebras*, Ark. Mat. **9** (1971), no. 1, 39–54.

FACULTE DES SCIENCES, MATHÉMATIQUE, ORSAY, FRANCE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY, PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND 02912